



Torch

ADEPT: Automatic Differentiable DEsign of Photonic Tensor Cores

Jiaqi Gu¹, Hanqing Zhu¹, Chenghao Feng¹, Zixuan Jiang¹, Mingjie Liu¹,

Shuhan Zhang¹, Ray T. Chen¹, David Z. Pan¹

¹ECE Dept., University of Texas at Austin

This work was supported in part by AFOSR MURI

iggu@utexas.edu, jeremiemelo.github.io

Photonic Al

- Al compute requirement has 5× the doubling rate of Moore's law
- Optics as next-generation AI solution

Ultra-high speed & Ultra-low energy







ONN: Photonic Tensor Core (PTC)

- DNNs: linear projection + nonlinear activation
 - Matrix multiplication is computation-intensive
- Photonics is good at ultra-fast linear operations







Electrical systolic array for digital GEMM [Google TPU]

Photonic tensor unit for analog GEMM [Nat. Photonics'17]

4



General → Subspace Photonic Tensor Core

- $U\Sigma V \rightarrow B\Sigma P$: Compact butterfly photonic mesh
 - Compact footprint: No MZI, use basic optical devices



• Trade universality for higher hardware efficiency

J. Gu, Z. Zhao, C. Feng, Z. Ying, R.T. Chen, and D.Z. Pan, ASP-DAC, 2020 (BPA) C. Feng, J. Gu, H. Zhu., Z. Ying, Z. Zhao, D.Z. Pan, R.T. Chen, Under Review, 2022



Motivations

*Basic Components



NAS vs. PTC Search



Our Proposed **ADEPT**

- The first *differentiable* PTC topology search framework
- Automatically find a coherent PTC design with basic components
- Adapt to different *foundry PDKs* and *footprint constraints*



ADEPT Formulation

- Block: PS/DC/CR layers
- SuperMesh weights
 - Phases: Φ^{U} , Φ^{V}
 - Diagonal: Σ
- SuperMesh arch params (α)
 - Block number: B^U , B^V
 - Couplers: \mathcal{T}_b
 - Crossings: \mathcal{P}_b
- Bilevel optimization with footprint constraint: F_{min} , F_{max} $\min_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{L}(W^{*\alpha}; \mathcal{D}^{val}), \quad \alpha = (B^U, B^V, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T})$

s.t. $W^* = \underset{W}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathcal{L}(W^{\alpha}; \mathcal{D}^{trn}), F_{min} \leq \mathcal{F}(\alpha) \leq F_{max}$



Optimize SuperMesh Depth B

- Discrete variable: skip $(U_{b,1})$ or keep $(U_{b,2})$
- Probabilistic SuperMesh block
 - Sample mask *m* from a distribution
 - Learn the distribution with Gumbel softmax trick [B. Wu+, FBNet, CVPR'19]



Continuous

Sample 🚽 🛉 Gumbel

Discrete

 $-U_b \rightarrow \text{or} \xrightarrow{I}$

 U_b

 $m_{b,1}$

Optimize Coupler Layer ${\mathcal T}$

• Discrete variable: *identity* (t = 1) or coupler $(t = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})$

Offset=0

Offset=1

11

Offset=0

Continuous

 $t\in [0,1]$

Discrete

or

STE

t = 1

Binarize 🚽

- Train continuous transmission $t \in [0, 1]$
- Binarize $t \in \{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 1\}$ with QAT
 - Estimate gradients with STE
- Interleaved DC layers
 - Information interaction

Optimize Permutation Layer $\mathcal P$

- All-to-all waveguide routing:
 - Permutation matrix
 - Huge design space $O((K \cdot K!/2)^{B_{max}})$
- How to make it differentiable?
 - Reparametrization

• *Relaxation* to its convex hull $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$

 $\mathcal{P}_b \ge 0; \ \|\mathcal{P}_b^{i,:}\|_1 = \|\mathcal{P}_b^{i,:}\|_2, \forall i; \ \|\mathcal{P}_b^{:,j}\|_1 = \|\mathcal{P}_b^{:,j}\|_2$

- Augmented Lagrangian (ALM)
 - Gradually push $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ to permutation \mathcal{P}
 - Permutation legalization (SPL)



PDK-Adaptive Footprint-Constrained Optimization

- Restrict expected footprint of the probabilistic SuperMesh
 - Models the device specification from foundry PDKs
- Restricted by lower/upper bounds
- If exceeds bounds
 - Penalize/encourage blocks, DC, CR

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} \beta \left(\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{F}_{\text{prox}}(\alpha)] / \widehat{F}_{max} \right), & \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{F}(\alpha)] > \widehat{F}_{max}, & \mathbb{E}_{\text{Form}} \\ -\beta \left(\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{F}_{\text{prox}}(\alpha)] / \widehat{F}_{min} \right), & \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{F}(\alpha)] < \widehat{F}_{min}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0.0 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0.1 \\ 0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

F_{max}

Experimental Results on AMF PDK

- Comparable expressiveness (<0.5% acc drop)
- 2-30× smaller footprint than MZI-ONN [Nat. Photon'17]
- 2.5× more compact than FFT-ONN [ASP-DAC'20] with higher accuracy



Foundry PDK Adaptation

- Adapt to AIM Photonics (with much larger CRs than AMF)
- 3-11× more compact than MZI-ONN [Nat. Photon'17]
- 0.5% higher accuracy than FFT-ONN [ASP-DAC'20]



Generalize to Different Tasks/Models

- Search on 2-layer CNN + MNIST \rightarrow Train on different tasks/models
- 84% smaller than MZI-ONN [Nat. Photon'17] with comparable accuracy
- 26% smaller than FFT-ONN [ASP-DAC'20] with +2.1% higher accuracy

Model	Datasets	MZI [14]	FFT [5, 6]	ADEPT-a2	ADEPT-a4
Footprint		7683	972	722	1206
LeNet-5	FMNIST SVHN CIFAR-10	87.33 69.91 51.40	85.87 65.04 42.75	85.89 65.26 51.26	87.07 69.20 52.42
VGG-8	FMNIST SVHN CIFAR-10	89.59 77.87 68.90	88.62 75.22 63.57	89.23 75.86 66.30	89.16 77.20 68.50

16

Noise Robustness of Searched PTC

- Shallow network depth → Superior noise robustness
- **ADEPT** is even more robust than FFT-based butterfly photonic mesh



The Future of *Light-AI Interaction* is **Bright**

- **ADEPT:** first automatic differentiable PTC search framework
- **Compactness**:
- **Expressiveness**:
- Adaptability:
- **Robustness**:



Comparable ($<0.5\%\downarrow$) accuracy to MZI-ONN Adapt to foundry PDKs and area constraints

More noise-resilient than FFT-ONN

 $2-30\times$ more compact than MZI-ONN







github.com/JeremieMelo/pytorch-onn

Optics for AI ↔ **AI for Optics**

