



ROQ: A Noise-Aware Quantization Scheme Towards Robust Optical Neural Networks with Low-bit Controls

¹Jiaqi Gu, ¹Zheng Zhao, ¹Chenghao Feng, ²Hanqing Zhu, ¹Ray T. Chen, ¹David Z. Pan ¹ECE Department, The University of Texas at Austin ²Microelectronics Department, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

This work is supported in part by MURI



AI Acceleration and Challenges

- ML models and dataset keep increasing
 - Low latency
 - > Low power
 - > High bandwidth





Autonomous Vehicle

Data Center

Moore's law is challenging to provide higher-performance computations





Original data up to the year 2010 collected and plotted by M. Horowitz, F. Labonte, O. Shacham, K. Olukotun, L. Hammond, and C. Batten New plot and data collected for 2010-2015 by K. Rupp

AI Acceleration and Challenges

- Using light to continue Moore's Law
- Promising technology for next-generation AI accelerator



Optical Neural Networks (ONN)

- Emergence of neuromorphic platforms for AI acceleration
- Optical neural networks (ONNs)
 - > Ultra-fast inference speed (~ 100 ps)
 - > >100 GHz photo-detection rate
 - > Near-zero energy consumption (< 1 fJ / MAC)
- Unsatisfactory non-ideal effects
 - Limited voltage control resolution ->
 - > Device-level noise and variation ->





Low precision phase encoding

Noise robustness issue



Classical ONN Architecture

- Map weight matrix to MZI arrays
- Singular value decomposition
 - $W = U\Sigma V^*$
 - > U and V* are square unitary matrices
 - Σ is diagonal matrix

)

Unitary group parametrization:

$$oldsymbol{U}(n) = oldsymbol{D} \prod_{i=n}^2 \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} oldsymbol{R}_{ij}$$

- **R**_{ij} is planar rotation matrix
- > $\mathbf{R_{ij}}$ with phase ϕ can be implemented by an MZI

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$





Non-ideality: Low-bit Control

Driginal

ercentage

Low control precision

- Control complexity consideration Σ
- Voltage control has limited bitwidths >

$$\Delta_v = v_{max}/(2^b - 1)$$

Challenge

- Non-uniform phase quantization
- Expensive for gradient calculation

 $\frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi_{ij}} = DR_{n1}R_{n2}R_{n3}\cdots \frac{\partial R_{ij}}{\partial \phi_{ij}}\cdots R_{31}R_{32}R_{21}$



Phase Shift (rad)



Value



Voltage (V)

Non-ideality: Device Variation

- Phase shifter Gamma noise => Phase encoding error => Acc. degradation
- Non-ideal phase shifter response curve
 - Theoretical: $\phi~=~\gamma v^2$
 - > Practical: gamma noise $\Delta \gamma \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$
 - » Environmental changes
 - » Manufacturing variations
 - » Temperature changes
 - » ...
 - > Larger phase is more noise sensitive



Quantization Scheme



Coarse Gradient Approximation

> Gradient propagation for voltage quantization

Unitary projection

- Map matrix U, V* to unitary planes
- Based on blocking matrix multiplication
 - > Better scalability



Coarse Gradient Approximation

- Model voltage-domain quantization $oldsymbol{U}_q^t = \mathcal{Q}_b(oldsymbol{U}^t)$ as STE
 - No intermediate gradient computation
 - > Efficient coarse gradient propagation

$$g_q^t = \frac{\partial L^t}{\partial U^t} = \frac{\partial L^t}{\partial U_q^t}$$

- Wrap clipping
 - > Invalid large phases will be clipped

$$v_{q,c} = \operatorname{WrapClip}(v_q) = \begin{cases} v_q, & \text{if } 0 \le v_q < v_{2\pi} \\ 0, & \text{if } v_q \ge v_{2\pi}. \end{cases}$$

> Wrapping will reduce phase error and noise sensitivity





Unitary Projection

Satisfy orthogonality constraint for unitary matrix U and V*

$$oldsymbol{U} = extsf{UProj}(\widehat{oldsymbol{U}}) \quad \left[egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{PSQ}^* = extsf{SVD}(\widehat{oldsymbol{U}}) \ oldsymbol{U} = oldsymbol{PQ}^*. \end{array}
ight.$$

- SVD-based projection method minimizes projection error
- Projected gradient descent: project onto unitary plane each iteration



Noise-Aware Training

- Protective group Lasso regularization (PGL)
 - Penalize less robust weight blocks

$$\mathcal{L}_{PGL} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{p^{l}} \sum_{j=1}^{q^{l}} P_{ij}^{l} \sqrt{1/\beta_{ij}^{l}} || \boldsymbol{W}_{ij}^{l} ||_{2}^{2}$$

Low robustness High robustness (Protect)
$$\Delta \gamma$$
 $\Delta \gamma$

- > Protective coefficient is dynamically learnable
 - » Gamma noise injection: ${f \Phi}_{q,n}=({m \gamma}\!+\!\Delta{m \gamma}){m v}_{q,c}^2,$
 - » Dynamic robustness evaluation

$$P_{ij}^{l} = \frac{d(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij,q}^{l}, \boldsymbol{W}_{ij,q,n}^{l})}{\max_{i,j} \left(d(\boldsymbol{W}_{ij,q}^{l}, \boldsymbol{W}_{ij,q,n}^{l}) \right)}$$

» Learnable coefficient via EMA: $\widehat{P}_{ij}^{l(t)} = \eta \widehat{P}_{ij}^{l(t-1)} + (1-\eta) P_{ij}^{l(t)}$



Experimental Results

Better Noise-robustness under low-bit voltage controls (3 ~ 6 bits)



Contribution of This Work

Voltage-domain quantization scheme for ONN

- > Efficient quantized ONN training methodology
- > ~90% accuracy under low-bit voltage controls
- Noise-aware training method
 - Protective Group Lasso regularization technique is proposed to boost noiserobustness of quantized ONNs
 - >80% inference accuracy under 3-bit control and 5e-3 gamma noise, compared to ~20% for baseline method
 - > Lower accuracy variance under gamma noise



Future Directions



Thanks Q&A

